

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,457	01/03/2006	William S. Oakley	NSS1P002.US01	8043
45%5 7590 11/02/2010 TIPS GROUP c/o Intellevate LLC			EXAMINER	
			FISCHER, MARK L	
P. O. BOX 52050 Minneapolis, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/563,457	OAKLEY, WILLIAM S.
Examiner	Art Unit
Mark I Fischer	2627

The amendment document filed on 07 October 2010 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the

requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
③ 3. Amendments to the drawings: ☐ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d), ☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. ☐ C. Other
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendmen filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.
2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendmen (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Queyle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of th non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.
/Mark I Fischer/ /HOA T NGLIYEN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324) Application No. 10/563,457

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The amendments to the claims filed on 10/18/2010 are non-compliant with 37 CFR § 1.121(c)(2) because there are instances where the amendments fail to indicate changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version of the claims (i.e. the amendment to the claims filed on 10/6/2009).

These instances are at least as follows (note: line numbers refer to the lines in the 10/18/2010 claims):

- Claim 6, line 11: the semicolon ";" (which appeared in the immediate prior version of the claims) following the word "back" appears to have been deleted, but there are no markings to indicate such a change.
- Claim 6, lines 25-26: the limitation of "a gating electrode mounted on the housing, a focus electrode mounted on the housing," (which
 appeared in the immediate prior version of the claims) is missing, but no markings indicate that a change has been made. Therefore, it is
 unclear as to whether or not the limitation was meant to be deleted.
- Claim 6, line 31: the limitation of ", the detection electrode to detect electrons reflected from a recording medium" (which appeared in
 the immediate prior version of the claims) is missing, but no markings indicate that a change has been made. Therefore, it is unclear as to
 whether or not the limitation was meant to be deteled.